

Index

- Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) 44, 75–6, 113–14, 151–2
- Arapaho point transect songbird surveys 402–9
- Assumptions
- accurate measurements 18, 34–6, 137, 170–1, 317–35
 - cue counting 270–4
 - failure of
 - double counting of objects 37, 171, 314, 336
 - movement in response to observer 18, 31–4, 136, 168–70, 314, 336
 - random object movement 19, 32, 136, 168, 336
 - $g(0) = 1$ 18, 30–1, 136, 167
 - independence between detections 36, 135–6
 - line transect sampling 135–7
 - migration counts 284, 286–7
 - point transect sampling 166–71
 - random distribution of objects 18, 29, 135, 166–7
 - shoulder to the detection function 36–7, 167–8
 - trapping webs 278–80
- Audio-detectability function 184
- Bayesian approach to distance sampling 260, 263
- Bias
- due to double counting 32
 - due to $g(0) < 1$ 30, 138
 - due to measurement error 34–5, 137, 170–1
 - due to movement in response to observer 32–4, 136, 168–70
 - due to random object movement 136, 168
- in estimates of g_0 205–8
- model mis-specification 96, 120, 158
- size-biased sampling of clusters 13, 77–87, 125–35, 158–66
- Binomial models 173–8, 409–14
- Bivariate detection function 81–7, 102–3, 134–5, 235–44
- Bootstrap 94–6, 119–20, 155–8
- Clusters
- as ancillary data 16
 - definition 12
 - line transects 122–35
 - point transects 158–66
 - size-biased detection 77–87
- Clustered populations
- estimation in line transect surveys
 - bivariate approach 102–3, 134–5
 - cluster size independent of detection distance 122–5
 - covariate approach 80, 102–3
 - regression estimator 79–80, 132–4
 - replacement of cluster by individual objects 131
 - stratification 132
 - truncation 130–1
 - estimation in point transect surveys
 - cluster size independent of detection distance 159
 - covariate approach 80, 102
 - regression estimator 79–80, 165–6
 - replacement of cluster by individual objects 164
 - stratification 164–5
 - truncation 161–4
 - estimation theory 77–87
 - modelling variation in cluster size 199
 - simulation of 235–44

INDEX

- Coefficient of detectability 179
Complementary log-log model 61, 184
Confidence intervals
 bootstrap 94–5, 155–8
 jackknife 93
 log-based 88–9, 118, 154
 numerical comparisons 254–60
 profile likelihood intervals 247
Satterthwaite degrees of freedom
 for 89–90, 120–1
 standard method 88, 118, 154
Covariates 80, 99–100, 102–3,
 193–8, 200, 287–9
Cox method 177–8
Cue counting 8–9, 270–5
'CumD' estimator 180–1
Cutpoints 15
- Darkling beetle trapping web
 surveys 282–4
- Data
 analysis of grouped or ungrouped
 110–11, 149–50
 ancillary 16–17
 grouped 14–15, 67–9, 116, 152–3,
 322, 326–33
 recording form 317–18
 spiked 127
 truncation 15, 50, 106–9, 146–8
 ungrouped 13–14, 65–7, 323–6
 units of measurement 16
- Density estimation 1, 37–41, 87–8,
 116–17, 153–5
- Density function 54–7
- Design 298–313
- Detection function
 bivariate 81–7, 102–3, 134–5,
 235–44
 models for *see* Estimators; Models
 relationship with pdf
 line transect sampling 54–5
 point transect sampling 56
 shape criterion 37, 42–4, 74
 with covariates 80, 99–100, 102–3
- Dispersion parameter 102, 187–93,
 199
- DISTANCE
 computer program 27–8
 constrained MLE 73, 137
 cue count option 271
 GOF 396
- LOOKAHEAD 113, 151, 399
OBJECT 404
PVALUE 401
SAMPLE, use with replicate lines
 or points 91
Satterthwaite procedure 125
SELECT 113, 151, 400
- Distances
 density function of 54–7
 measurement of 34–6, 137, 170–1,
 317–35
 simulation of 235–44
 truncation 15, 50, 106–9, 146–8
- Dolphin relative abundance
 estimates 390–6
- Doughnut or Donut 170
- Duck nest surveys 19–21, 359–80
- Effective area 56
- Effective strip
 half-width 56
 width 23, 56
- Efficiency
 of binomial point transect model
 175
 estimator 44, 74
- Empirical estimators 178–81
- Encounter rate 186–98
- Estimation
 of line length to be surveyed
 301–6, 308–12
 of number of points to be
 surveyed 307–8
 of object density
 cue counting 271
 line transects 37–9, 41, 87,
 116–17
 nearest neighbour methods 292–3
 point-to-object methods 292–3
 point transects 40–1, 87–8, 153–5
 trapping webs 280
 when objects are in clusters
 77–87, 122–35, 158–66
- Estimator efficiency 44, 74
- Estimators
 Cox 177–8
 'CumD' 180–1
 empirical 178–81
 isotonic regression 180
 kernel 182–3
 maximum likelihood 65–73

INDEX

- shape restricted 181–2
see also Models
- Examples
- cue counting
 - Antarctic minke whale surveys 272–7
 - line transect sampling
 - dolphins 390–6
 - duck nests 359–80
 - fin whales 380–90
 - Lake Huron brick data 351–3
 - wooden stakes 353–8
 - migration counts
 - California grey whales 285–93
 - point transect sampling
 - Arapaho NWR songbird surveys 402–9
 - house wrens 396–401
 - songbird surveys of Welsh conifer plantations 409–41
 - trapping webs
 - darkling beetle surveys, Wyoming 282–4
 - mouse surveys, New Mexico 282
- Exponential power series model 26
- Exponential quadratic model 26
- Field methods
- for mobile objects 337–8
 - when detection on centreline is not certain 338–9
- Finite population correction factor 96–8
- Fin whale line transect surveys 380–90
- Fisher information matrix 66, 68
- Fourier series model 48, 63–4
- Generalized exponential model 134
- Genstat 288
- Goodness of fit tests 44–6, 76–7, 114–16, 152–3
- Grey whale migration count surveys 285–93
- Half-normal model
- binomial 174–6
 - bivariate 102–3, 126–7, 134, 154–5
 - as a key function 46–8, 63–4
 - line transect sampling 70–1, 238–40, 245–8, 257–9
- point transect sampling 71–2, 240–3, 252–4
- Hazard-rate analysis
- continuous 58–62
 - discrete 183–5
- Hazard-rate model
- definition 60
 - derivation 58–62
 - discrete 184
 - as a key function 46–8
- Heaping 35, 110–1, 149
- Hermite polynomial model 46–8, 63–4
- Hessian matrix 66
- Heterogeneity
- behaviour of animals 207–8
 - covariates 99, 205
 - environmental 207
 - observer 206–8
 - platform 206–8
 - stratification 99–102, 205
- House wren point transect surveys 396–401
- Information matrix 66, 68
- Innate detectability 217–25
- Interval estimation 88–96, 118–21, 154–8
- Jackknife 92–4
- Kelker strip 23, 54
- Kernel estimation methods 182–3
- Key function
- definition 46, 62–3
 - exponential 49
 - formulation for distance data 62–5
 - half-normal 46–8, 63–4
 - hazard-rate 46–8
 - uniform 46–8, 64
- Lake Huron brick data 351–3
- Likelihood function
- full likelihood approach 244–63
 - grouped data 67
 - half-normal 70–2
 - ungrouped data 65
- Likelihood ratio test (LRT) 74–5, 112–13, 150–1
- LINETRAN 26
- Line transect sampling

INDEX

- Line transect sampling *contd*
- analysis guidelines 49–51, 137–40
 - assumptions 18–19, 29–37, 135–7
 - examples 351–96
 - field comparisons with point transect sampling and mapping censuses 339–48
 - field methods 295–349
 - full likelihood approach 245–51, 257–9
 - hazard-rate modelling of detection process 58–61, 183–4
 - history 23–7
 - method and analysis 104–40
 - strengths and weaknesses 141–2, 296–7
 - survey design 298–306, 308–12
 - three-dimensional 263–6
- Mark–recapture
- double counting
 - in g_0 estimation 206–7, 210, 216
 - in migration counts 287–9
 - likelihood models 262
 - trapping webs 275, 281
- Maximum likelihood estimators (MLE)
- Maximum likelihood methods
- full likelihood approach 244–63
 - grouped data 67–9
 - theory 65–73
 - ungrouped data 65–7
- Measurements 16, 34–6, 317–35
- Migration counts 284–93
- Minke whale cue counting surveys 272–7
- Modelling
- $g(y)$ 58–64
 - philosophy and strategy 41–6
 - $var(n)$ 90–1, 109–10, 148–9, 186–98
 - variation in encounter rate 186–98
 - variation in cluster size 199
- Model robustness 42, 73
- Model selection
- AIC 75–6, 113–14, 151–2
 - criteria 73–4
 - goodness of fit 76–7, 114–16, 152–3
 - guidelines for 50–1
 - likelihood ratio test 74–5, 112–13, 150–1
 - line transects 111–16
- point transects 150–3
- Models
- binomial 173–8, 409–14
 - bivariate 81–7, 102–3, 134–5, 235–44
 - complementary log-log 61, 184
 - exponential power series 26
 - exponential quadratic 26
 - Fourier series 48, 63–4
 - generalized exponential 134
 - half-normal
 - as a key function 46–8, 63–4
 - binomial 174–6
 - bivariate 102–3, 126–7, 134, 154–5
 - line transect sampling 70–1, 238–40, 245–8, 257–9
 - point transect sampling 71–2, 240–3, 252–4
 - hazard-rate 46–8, 58–62, 184
 - Hermite polynomial 46–8, 63–4
 - multinomial 67–9
 - negative exponential 24, 134, 248–52
 - reversed logistic 134
 - see also* Estimators
- Monte Carlo simulation 235–44
- Mouse trapping web surveys 282
- Multinomial model 67–9
- Nearest neighbour methods 9, 292–4
- Negative exponential model 24, 134, 248–52
- Newton–Raphson 66
- Non-parametric estimators 177–83
- Outliers 35–6
- Parsimony 44, 76, 102, 188, 200
- Pilot study 295, 303–8, 349
- Point process model 183, 193–200
- Point-to-object methods 9, 292–4
- Point transect sampling
- analysis guidelines 49–51, 171–2
 - assumptions 18–19, 29–37, 166–71
 - examples 396–414
 - field comparisons with line transect sampling and mapping censuses 339–48
 - field methods 295–349
 - full likelihood approach 251–4
 - hazard-rate modelling of detection process 61–2, 184–5

INDEX

- Point transect sampling *contd*
history 27
method and analysis 141–72
strengths and weaknesses 141–2,
 296–7
survey design 298–303, 307–8
three-dimensional 266–70
- Poisson
distribution of objects 18, 29, 36
variance of n 50, 88, 109–10, 119,
 148–9, 188
- Polynomials
Hermite 46–8, 63–4
simple 46–8, 62–4
- Pooling robustness 42, 74
- Post-stratification 77–9, 99, 308, 392
- Precision, measures of *see* Variance
- Probability density function (pdf)
 54–7
- Profile likelihood
definition 247
line transects 247–51, 254–60
point transects 251–6, 259–60
- Quasi-likelihood 189, 192–3
- Regression
estimator of mean cluster size
 79–80, 132–4, 165–6
isotonic 180
linear 79–80
logistic 288–9
weighted linear 363
- Replicate lines or points
bootstrap 95–6
jackknife 92–4
in survey design 6–7, 298–301
variance estimation 90–2, 109–10,
 148–9
- Reversed logistic model 134
- Robust estimation
criteria for 41–2, 73–4
models for 46–9
variance 94–6, 119–20, 155–8
- Sample size
fixed or random 225–35
modelling variation in 186–98
- Sampling in three dimensions
line transects 263–6
point transects 266–70
- Satterthwaite correction 89–90,
 120–1, 125
- Searching behaviour 313–16
- Semiparametric model 42, 73
- Series expansions
cosine series 46–8, 63–4
Fourier series 48, 63–4
Hermite polynomials 46–8, 63–4
simple polynomials 46–8, 62–4
- Shape criterion 36–7, 42–4, 54, 74,
 167–8
- Shape restriction estimator 181–2
- Shoulder 36–7, 42–4, 54, 74, 167–8,
 217–25
- Simple polynomials 46–8, 62–4
- Simplex procedure 66
- Simulations 235–44
- Size-biased sampling 13, 77–87,
 125–35, 158–66
- SIZETRAN 103, 134
- Smearing 319–22
- Smoothing methods 392–6
- Statistical theory 52–103
- Stratification
by cluster size 77–9, 132, 164–5
post-stratification 77–9, 99, 308, 392
to reduce heterogeneity 99–102, 205
- Strip transect sampling 3–4, 12, 41,
 296, 337
- Survey design 298–313
- Test power 44–6
- Training 319, 325, 335–6
- TRANSECT 26, 73
- Trapping webs 7–8, 275–84
- Truncation
for reducing bias in estimating
 mean cluster size 130–1, 161–4
for robust estimation of detection
 function 15, 50, 106–9
left-truncation 15, 273–7, 377–9
line transects 106–9
point transects 146–8
- Units of measurement 16
- Variable circular plots *see* Point
transect sampling
- Variance
bootstrap 94–6, 119–20, 155–8
delta method 53

INDEX

- Variance *contd*
estimation 87–98, 109–10, 116–21,
148–9, 153–8
finite population correction factor
96–8
inflation factor 102, 187–93, 199
jackknife 92–4
of mean cluster size 77–81, 123,
159, 199
reduction using spatial models
186–200
of sample size 90–1, 109–10,
148–9, 186–98
use of information matrix
66, 68
use of replicate lines or points
90–2, 109–10, 148–9
Visual-detectability function 184
Welsh point transect surveys in
conifer plantations 409–14
Wooden stake data 353–8