

Index

- Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) 44, 75–6, 113–14, 151–2
- Arapaho point transect songbird surveys 402–9
- Assumptions
 - accurate measurements 18, 34–6, 137, 170–1, 317–35
 - cue counting 270–4
 - failure of
 - double counting of objects 37, 171, 314, 336
 - movement in response to observer 18, 31–4, 136, 168–70, 314, 336
 - random object movement 19, 32, 136, 168, 336
 - $g(0) = 1$ 18, 30–1, 136, 167
 - independence between detections 36, 135–6
 - line transect sampling 135–7
 - migration counts 284, 286–7
 - point transect sampling 166–71
 - random distribution of objects 18, 29, 135, 166–7
 - shoulder to the detection function 36–7, 167–8
 - trapping webs 278–80
- Audio-detectability function 184
- Bayesian approach to distance sampling 260, 263
- Bias
 - due to double counting 32
 - due to $g(0) < 1$ 30, 138
 - due to measurement error 34–5, 137, 170–1
 - due to movement in response to observer 32–4, 136, 168–70
 - due to random object movement 136, 168
 - in estimates of g_0 205–8
 - model mis-specification 96, 120, 158
 - size-biased sampling of clusters 13, 77–87, 125–35, 158–66
- Binomial models 173–8, 409–14
- Bivariate detection function 81–7, 102–3, 134–5, 235–44
- Bootstrap 94–6, 119–20, 155–8
- Clusters
 - as ancillary data 16
 - definition 12
 - line transects 122–35
 - point transects 158–66
 - size-biased detection 77–87
- Clustered populations
 - estimation in line transect surveys
 - bivariate approach 102–3, 134–5
 - cluster size independent of detection distance 122–5
 - covariate approach 80, 102–3
 - regression estimator 79–80, 132–4
 - replacement of cluster by individual objects 131
 - stratification 132
 - truncation 130–1
 - estimation in point transect surveys
 - cluster size independent of detection distance 159
 - covariate approach 80, 102
 - regression estimator 79–80, 165–6
 - replacement of cluster by individual objects 164
 - stratification 164–5
 - truncation 161–4
 - estimation theory 77–87
 - modelling variation in cluster size 199
 - simulation of 235–44

INDEX

- Coefficient of detectability 179
- Complementary log-log model 61, 184
- Confidence intervals
 - bootstrap 94–5, 155–8
 - jackknife 93
 - log-based 88–9, 118, 154
 - numerical comparisons 254–60
 - profile likelihood intervals 247
 - Satterthwaite degrees of freedom for 89–90, 120–1
 - standard method 88, 118, 154
- Covariates 80, 99–100, 102–3, 193–8, 200, 287–9
- Cox method 177–8
- Cue counting 8–9, 270–5
- 'CumD' estimator 180–1
- Cutpoints 15

- Darkling beetle trapping web surveys 282–4
- Data
 - analysis of grouped or ungrouped 110–11, 149–50
 - ancillary 16–17
 - grouped 14–15, 67–9, 116, 152–3, 322, 326–33
 - recording form 317–18
 - spiked 127
 - truncation 15, 50, 106–9, 146–8
 - ungrouped 13–14, 65–7, 323–6
 - units of measurement 16
- Density estimation 1, 37–41, 87–8, 116–17, 153–5
- Density function 54–7
- Design 298–313
- Detection function
 - bivariate 81–7, 102–3, 134–5, 235–44
 - models for *see* Estimators; Models
 - relationship with pdf
 - line transect sampling 54–5
 - point transect sampling 56
 - shape criterion 37, 42–4, 74
 - with covariates 80, 99–100, 102–3
- Dispersion parameter 102, 187–93, 199
- DISTANCE
 - computer program 27–8
 - constrained MLE 73, 137
 - cue count option 271
 - GOF 396
- LOOKAHEAD 113, 151, 399
- OBJECT 404
- PVALUE 401
- SAMPLE, use with replicate lines or points 91
- Satterthwaite procedure 125
- SELECT 113, 151, 400
- Distances
 - density function of 54–7
 - measurement of 34–6, 137, 170–1, 317–35
 - simulation of 235–44
 - truncation 15, 50, 106–9, 146–8
- Dolphin relative abundance estimates 390–6
- Doughnut or Donut 170
- Duck nest surveys 19–21, 359–80

- Effective area 56
- Effective strip
 - half-width 56
 - width 23, 56
- Efficiency
 - of binomial point transect model 175
 - estimator 44, 74
- Empirical estimators 178–81
- Encounter rate 186–98
- Estimation
 - of line length to be surveyed 301–6, 308–12
 - of number of points to be surveyed 307–8
 - of object density
 - cue counting 271
 - line transects 37–9, 41, 87, 116–17
 - nearest neighbour methods 292–3
 - point-to-object methods 292–3
 - point transects 40–1, 87–8, 153–5
 - trapping webs 280
 - when objects are in clusters 77–87, 122–35, 158–66
- Estimator efficiency 44, 74
- Estimators
 - Cox 177–8
 - 'CumD' 180–1
 - empirical 178–81
 - isotonic regression 180
 - kernel 182–3
 - maximum likelihood 65–73

INDEX

- shape restricted 181–2
- see also* Models
- Examples
 - cue counting
 - Antarctic minke whale surveys 272–7
 - line transect sampling
 - dolphins 390–6
 - duck nests 359–80
 - fin whales 380–90
 - Lake Huron brick data 351–3
 - wooden stakes 353–8
 - migration counts
 - California grey whales 285–93
 - point transect sampling
 - Arapaho NWR songbird surveys 402–9
 - house wrens 396–401
 - songbird surveys of Welsh conifer plantations 409–41
 - trapping webs
 - darkling beetle surveys, Wyoming 282–4
 - mouse surveys, New Mexico 282
- Exponential power series model 26
- Exponential quadratic model 26
- Field methods
 - for mobile objects 337–8
 - when detection on centreline is not certain 338–9
- Finite population correction factor 96–8
- Fin whale line transect surveys 380–90
- Fisher information matrix 66, 68
- Fourier series model 48, 63–4
- Generalized exponential model 134
- Genstat 288
- Goodness of fit tests 44–6, 76–7, 114–16, 152–3
- Grey whale migration count surveys 285–93
- Half-normal model
 - binomial 174–6
 - bivariate 102–3, 126–7, 134, 154–5
 - as a key function 46–8, 63–4
 - line transect sampling 70–1, 238–40, 245–8, 257–9
 - point transect sampling 71–2, 240–3, 252–4
- Hazard-rate analysis
 - continuous 58–62
 - discrete 183–5
- Hazard-rate model
 - definition 60
 - derivation 58–62
 - discrete 184
 - as a key function 46–8
- Heaping 35, 110–1, 149
- Hermite polynomial model 46–8, 63–4
- Hessian matrix 66
- Heterogeneity
 - behaviour of animals 207–8
 - covariates 99, 205
 - environmental 207
 - observer 206–8
 - platform 206–8
 - stratification 99–102, 205
- House wren point transect surveys 396–401
- Information matrix 66, 68
- Innate detectability 217–25
- Interval estimation 88–96, 118–21, 154–8
- Jackknife 92–4
- Kelker strip 23, 54
- Kernel estimation methods 182–3
- Key function
 - definition 46, 62–3
 - exponential 49
 - formulation for distance data 62–5
 - half-normal 46–8, 63–4
 - hazard-rate 46–8
 - uniform 46–8, 64
- Lake Huron brick data 351–3
- Likelihood function
 - full likelihood approach 244–63
 - grouped data 67
 - half-normal 70–2
 - ungrouped data 65
- Likelihood ratio test (LRT) 74–5, 112–13, 150–1
- LINETRAN 26
- Line transect sampling

INDEX

- Line transect sampling *contd*
 - analysis guidelines 49–51, 137–40
 - assumptions 18–19, 29–37, 135–7
 - examples 351–96
 - field comparisons with point transect sampling and mapping censuses 339–48
 - field methods 295–349
 - full likelihood approach 245–51, 257–9
 - hazard-rate modelling of detection process 58–61, 183–4
 - history 23–7
 - method and analysis 104–40
 - strengths and weaknesses 141–2, 296–7
 - survey design 298–306, 308–12
 - three-dimensional 263–6
- Mark–recapture
 - double counting
 - in g_0 estimation 206–7, 210, 216
 - in migration counts 287–9
 - likelihood models 262
 - trapping webs 275, 281
- Maximum likelihood estimators (MLE)
- Maximum likelihood methods
 - full likelihood approach 244–63
 - grouped data 67–9
 - theory 65–73
 - ungrouped data 65–7
- Measurements 16, 34–6, 317–35
- Migration counts 284–93
- Minke whale cue counting surveys 272–7
- Modelling
 - $g(y)$ 58–64
 - philosophy and strategy 41–6
 - $var(n)$ 90–1, 109–10, 148–9, 186–98
 - variation in encounter rate 186–98
 - variation in cluster size 199
- Model robustness 42, 73
- Model selection
 - AIC 75–6, 113–14, 151–2
 - criteria 73–4
 - goodness of fit 76–7, 114–16, 152–3
 - guidelines for 50–1
 - likelihood ratio test 74–5, 112–13, 150–1
 - line transects 111–16
 - point transects 150–3
- Models
 - binomial 173–8, 409–14
 - bivariate 81–7, 102–3, 134–5, 235–44
 - complementary log-log 61, 184
 - exponential power series 26
 - exponential quadratic 26
 - Fourier series 48, 63–4
 - generalized exponential 134
 - half-normal
 - as a key function 46–8, 63–4
 - binomial 174–6
 - bivariate 102–3, 126–7, 134, 154–5
 - line transect sampling 70–1, 238–40, 245–8, 257–9
 - point transect sampling 71–2, 240–3, 252–4
 - hazard-rate 46–8, 58–62, 184
 - Hermite polynomial 46–8, 63–4
 - multinomial 67–9
 - negative exponential 24, 134, 248–52
 - reversed logistic 134
 - see also* Estimators
- Monte Carlo simulation 235–44
- Mouse trapping web surveys 282
- Multinomial model 67–9
- Nearest neighbour methods 9, 292–4
- Negative exponential model 24, 134, 248–52
- Newton–Raphson 66
- Non-parametric estimators 177–83
- Outliers 35–6
- Parsimony 44, 76, 102, 188, 200
- Pilot study 295, 303–8, 349
- Point process model 183, 193–200
- Point-to-object methods 9, 292–4
- Point transect sampling
 - analysis guidelines 49–51, 171–2
 - assumptions 18–19, 29–37, 166–71
 - examples 396–414
 - field comparisons with line transect sampling and mapping censuses 339–48
 - field methods 295–349
 - full likelihood approach 251–4
 - hazard-rate modelling of detection process 61–2, 184–5

INDEX

- Point transect sampling *contd*
 - history 27
 - method and analysis 141–72
 - strengths and weaknesses 141–2, 296–7
 - survey design 298–303, 307–8
 - three-dimensional 266–70
- Poisson
 - distribution of objects 18, 29, 36
 - variance of n 50, 88, 109–10, 119, 148–9, 188
- Polynomials
 - Hermite 46–8, 63–4
 - simple 46–8, 62–4
- Pooling robustness 42, 74
- Post-stratification 77–9, 99, 308, 392
- Precision, measures of *see* Variance
- Probability density function (pdf) 54–7
- Profile likelihood
 - definition 247
 - line transects 247–51, 254–60
 - point transects 251–6, 259–60
- Quasi-likelihood 189, 192–3
- Regression
 - estimator of mean cluster size 79–80, 132–4, 165–6
 - isotonic 180
 - linear 79–80
 - logistic 288–9
 - weighted linear 363
- Replicate lines or points
 - bootstrap 95–6
 - jackknife 92–4
 - in survey design 6–7, 298–301
 - variance estimation 90–2, 109–10, 148–9
- Reversed logistic model 134
- Robust estimation
 - criteria for 41–2, 73–4
 - models for 46–9
 - variance 94–6, 119–20, 155–8
- Sample size
 - fixed or random 225–35
 - modelling variation in 186–98
- Sampling in three dimensions
 - line transects 263–6
 - point transects 266–70
- Satterthwaite correction 89–90, 120–1, 125
- Searching behaviour 313–16
- Semiparametric model 42, 73
- Series expansions
 - cosine series 46–8, 63–4
 - Fourier series 48, 63–4
 - Hermite polynomials 46–8, 63–4
 - simple polynomials 46–8, 62–4
- Shape criterion 36–7, 42–4, 54, 74, 167–8
- Shape restriction estimator 181–2
- Shoulder 36–7, 42–4, 54, 74, 167–8, 217–25
- Simple polynomials 46–8, 62–4
- Simplex procedure 66
- Simulations 235–44
- Size-biased sampling 13, 77–87, 125–35, 158–66
- SIZETRAN 103, 134
- Smearing 319–22
- Smoothing methods 392–6
- Statistical theory 52–103
- Stratification
 - by cluster size 77–9, 132, 164–5
 - post-stratification 77–9, 99, 308, 392
 - to reduce heterogeneity 99–102, 205
- Strip transect sampling 3–4, 12, 41, 296, 337
- Survey design 298–313
- Test power 44–6
- Training 319, 325, 335–6
- TRANSECT 26, 73
- Trapping webs 7–8, 275–84
- Truncation
 - for reducing bias in estimating mean cluster size 130–1, 161–4
 - for robust estimation of detection function 15, 50, 106–9
 - left-truncation 15, 273–7, 377–9
 - line transects 106–9
 - point transects 146–8
- Units of measurement 16
- Variable circular plots *see* Point transect sampling
- Variance
 - bootstrap 94–6, 119–20, 155–8
 - delta method 53

INDEX

- Variance *cont'd*
estimation 87-98, 109-10, 116-21,
148-9, 153-8
finite population correction factor
96-8
inflation factor 102, 187-93, 199
jackknife 92-4
of mean cluster size 77-81, 123,
159, 199
reduction using spatial models
186-200
of sample size 90-1, 109-10,
148-9, 186-98
use of information matrix
66, 68
use of replicate lines or points
90-2, 109-10, 148-9
Visual-detectability function 184
Welsh point transect surveys in
conifer plantations 409-14
Wooden stake data 353-8